UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

Docket #13cr268

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 1:13-cr-00268-JMF

Plaintiff, :

- against -

ALIMZHAN TOKHTAKHOUNOV, ANATOLY

GOLUBCHIK, et al., New York, New York

: April 16, 2013

Defendants.

-----:

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES C. FRANCIS,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the United States U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

of America: SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

> BY: HARRIS FISHMAN, ESQ. JOSHUA NAFTALIS, ESQ.

One Saint Andrew's Plaza New York, New York 10007

(212) 637-2000

Trincher:

For the Defendant LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL FINEMAN, ESQ.

BY: MICHAEL FINEMAN, ESQ.

225 Broadway

New York, New York 10007

(212) 233-4500

Transcription Service: Carole Ludwig, Transcription Services

141 East Third Street #3E New York, New York 10009 Phone: (212) 420-0771 Fax: (212) 420-6007

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;

Transcript produced by transcription service

Case 1:13-cr-00268-JMF Document 204 Filed 05/29/13 Page 2 of 23 APPEARANCES cont'd: For the Defendant COOLEY, LLP Golubchik: BY: JONATHA BY: JONATHAN BACH, ESQ. 1114 Avenue of Americas New York, New York 10036 (212) 479-6470

INDEX

 $\underline{\mathtt{E}} \ \, \underline{\mathtt{X}} \ \, \underline{\mathtt{A}} \ \, \underline{\mathtt{M}} \ \, \underline{\mathtt{I}} \ \, \underline{\mathtt{N}} \ \, \underline{\mathtt{A}} \ \, \underline{\mathtt{T}} \ \, \underline{\mathtt{I}} \ \, \underline{\mathtt{O}} \ \, \underline{\mathtt{N}} \ \, \underline{\mathtt{S}}$

Re-Re-WitnessDirectCrossDirectCross

None

EXHIBITS

Exhibit Voir Number Description ID In Dire

None

```
4
             THE CLERK: U. S. v. Anatoly Golubchik and Vladim
 1
 2
    Trincher. Counsel, please state your name for the record
    starting with the government.
 3
             MR. HARRIS FISHMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor,
 4
 5
   Harris Fishman and Josh Naftalis on behalf of the Government,
 6
    joined at counsel table by Special Agent Rob Hanratty and a
 7
    paralegal with the United States Attorney's Office, Colleen
 8
    Dyer.
 9
             HONORABLE JUDGE FRANCIS (THE COURT):
10
    afternoon.
11
             MR. MICHAEL FINEMAN: Michael Fineman on behalf
12
    of Mr. Trincher, 225 Broadway, New York, New York. Good
13
    afternoon, Your Honor.
14
             THE COURT: Good afternoon.
15
             (Pause in proceedings)
16
             MR. JONATHAN BACH: I'm sorry, Judge.
17
             THE COURT:
                          That's all right. Want to introduce
18
    yourself?
19
             MR. BACH: Jonathan Bach on behalf of Anatoly
20
    Golubchik.
21
             THE COURT: Good afternoon. Gentlemen, I'm Judge
22
    Francis. The purpose of this proceeding is to inform you of
23
    certain rights that you have, to inform you of the charges
24
    against you, consider whether counsel should be appointed
25
    for you, and to decide under what conditions you should be
```

MR. FISHMAN: And I should add, Your Honor, none of the matters in this case have been referred for arraignment.

23

24

25

THE COURT: Right. Gentlemen, you've each been charged in six counts in this indictment and, Mr. Golubchik, you've also been charged in a seventh. You've both been charged in count one, which charges conspiracy to engage in racketeering in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1962(d) and you've also been charged in count two, which charges racketeering in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1962(c).

With respect to each of those counts you would be subject to a term of imprisonment of up to 20 years, a term of up to 3 years supervised release, a fine of up to \$250,000 and a \$100 special assessment.

You've also each been charged in count five, which charges bookmaking in violation of Title 18 of the United States Codes, Section 1955, and internet gambling, that's count six, internet gambling in violation of Title 31 of the United States Code, Sections 5363 and 5366.

For each of those counts you would be subject to a term of imprisonment of up to five years, a term of up to three years supervised release, a fine of up to \$250,000, and a \$100 special assessment.

You also have each been charged in count seven, which is a charge of money laundering conspiracy in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1956(h). That charge carries a term of imprisonment of up

to 20 years, a term of up to 3 years supervised release, a 1 2 fine of up to \$500,000, and a \$100 special assessment. You've also each been charged in count eight, 3 which charges a conspiracy to commit extortion in violation 4 of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 894. 5 6 convicted on that count, you would be subject to a term of imprisonment of up to 20 years, a term of up to 3 years 7 supervised release, a fine of up to \$250,000, and a \$100 8 9 special assessment. 10 And Mr. Golubchik, you've also been charged in 11 count 18, which is also a money laundering conspiracy in 12 violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 13 1956(h). And again, on that count the exposure would be up 14 to 20 years imprisonment, up to 3 years supervised release, 15 a fine of up to \$500,000, and a \$100 special assessment. 16 Mr. Fineman, have had an opportunity to review the 17 indictment with Mr. Trincher? 18 And do you waive its public MR. FINEMAN: 19 reading? 20 THE COURT: And Mr. Bach, have you had an 21 opportunity to review the indictment with Mr. Golubchik? 22 MR. BACH: Yes, I have, Your Honor. 23 And do you waive its public reading? THE COURT: 24 MR. BACH: Yes, I do.

Does the Government have bail

25

THE COURT:

```
8
   recommendations?
 1
 2
             MR. FISHMAN: Your Honor, for both defendants the
    Government seeks detention.
 3
             THE COURT: Mr. Fineman, do you wish to
 4
 5
    (inaudible) at this time?
             MR. FINEMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
 7
             THE COURT:
                          Hang on a second, we'll let the
    Government go first. You may proceed.
 8
 9
             MR. FISHMAN:
                             Your Honor, shall I address Mr.
    Trincher first?
10
11
             THE WITNESS:
                             Yes.
12
             MR. FISHMAN: Your Honor, Mr. Trincher is the
13
    leader of, well, is the leader, along with Mr. Golubchik and
14
    Mr. Tokhtakhounov, of this international money laundering,
15
    sports gambling, and extortion ring. Mr. Trincher operated
16
    out of the Trump Tower in New York City from his apartment.
    He oversaw one of what must be the world's largest sports
17
18
    books, catering primarily to millionaires and billionaires
19
    across the globe who place exceedingly large bets, often
20
    hundreds of thousand of dollars on sporting events,
21
    including soccer matches and NBA basketball games and
2.2
    baseball games.
23
             To insure payments from the abroad customers they
24
   had the presence of Tokhtakhounov, also known as Taiwanchik,
25
    who has described in the indictment is a Vor, a
```

(indiscernible), the highest level of Russian organized criminal. Someone who acts as protection, as a roof (phonetic) and insures payment through threats, either explicit or implicit of physical harm or harm to property.

Indeed, during the course of this investigation,
Mr. Vladim Trincher was on one occasion intercepted speaking
with a customer of the gambling operation who owed a debt of
\$50,000. And in that conversation Mr. Trincher made
reference to an enforcer of his operation, an individual
named Maxin (phonetic) who he threatens the customer that
Maxin would come and find him, would come and find the
money, and that he should be careful, lest he be tortured,
and lest he wind up underground.

An enormous concern of the Government is the defendant's flight risk. He has substantial ties overseas in the former Soviet Union, in Israel. He travels frequently and I think highlighting the financial risk, the flight risk, is the financial assets and the financial wherewithal that Mr. Trincher and Mr. Golubchik have shown during the course of this conspiracy. They have laundered together easily more that \$50 million from the former Soviet Union through six or seven shell companies that they have operated in Cyprus, then into the United States.

And once into the United States they have occasionally laundered it through additional shell

2.2

companies, which I note appear to be listed as, in one instance, an employer for Mr. Golubchik, or invest them in various investments such as real estate or hedge funds, such that they have thoroughly washed the money. So when the money comes out, it's now coming out as a real estate payment or a hedge fund payment.

But the point here being it's tens of million dollars overseas that they've been able to control. And the Government is exceedingly concerned that Mr. Trincher, if released today no matter what the conditions, we'll never see him again. He's facing a maximum of 90 years imprisonment on these charges. The evidence against him is overwhelming. It includes three months, I believe, or four months of wiretaps on one of his cell phones, approximately the same duration of wiretaps on one of Mr. Golubchik's phones.

The wiretap conversations include intercepts of Mr. Trincher communicating directly with the Vor in Russia, Mr. Tokhtakhounov. Mr. Trincher and Mr. Golubchik communicating with their key money launderers in the United States, Slava Greenburg and Michael Sall. And that's principally the Government's reasons, Your Honor.

This is someone who has shown an innate ability to trick and defraud the American government by moving large amounts of money into the United States through various

```
shell companies.
                      These transactions are almost always
 1
 2
    labeled as equipment transfers, or something else, not what
 3
    they really are. If they're starting out as gambling
    payments then they're just coming in as money being paid.
 4
             Even today at Mr. Trincher's arrest at his five,
 5
 6
    six million dollar apartment in Trump Plaza which was
 7
    clearly bought with gambling proceeds in 2009. Even today
    in his apartment was found I believe approximately $75,000
 8
 9
    in cash and $2 million in Bellagio chips, which is
    significant, because chips are a frequent means of
10
11
    laundering money for members of this enterprise, both
12
    domestically and internationally. By the chips being given
13
    to by someone else at the casino, you then can bring the
14
    chip into the casino, whether domestically or
15
    internationally. Because it's plastic, you can get it on a
    plane without be detected, and then exchange that for money.
16
17
    It is tax-free income at your hands, essentially.
18
             And so, Your Honor, it's for all these reasons
19
    that the Government believes detention is appropriate.
20
             THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
21
                          Judge, I'd like to argue first.
             MR. BACH:
                                                            Ι
2.2
    don't know if he was addressing both defendants.
23
                             I was addressing just Mr. Trincher.
             MR. FISHMAN:
24
             MR. BACH:
                          Okay.
25
             THE COURT:
                           Fine.
```

MR. FINEMAN: Your Honor, as you are well aware, the standard for determining (inaudible) for a bail package is if released the defendant that would insure the defendant's return to court.

Under these sort of circumstances, Your Honor, my client has (inaudible) in the United State since 1989. As the U.S. attorney has mentioned, he owns his property, it's a valuable property, but we deny the allegation that the source of the money that was purchased. My client is a professional poker player. He's been (inaudible) throughout the United States and the world as a professional poker player and has won a tremendous amount of proceeds as in that occupation, which he's paid taxes for, he's declared as income. There's no question about the source of the funds that were used to purchase this real estate here in New York County as well as real estate purchased in Las Vegas.

My client's married and his wife is here in the courtroom. I submit to Your Honor that a bail package involving some much less restrictive methods, such as home where the client is monitoring and a certain amount of cash alternative in addition to a personal recognizance bond signed by another financially sound (inaudible) should be more than sufficient to require my client to appear in court as necessary.

The U.S. Attorney's Office, while they spoke of

significant assets overseas, they didn't actually state any reason why this court would believe that my client would become, stay and face these charges or flee the jurisdiction of this court. My client's been residing in New York City in excess of nine years, and with his wife, who is a homemaker.

There's absolutely no reason to believe that my client wouldn't return to court as required under any bail package that will be (inaudible). Specifically, under these set of circumstances it's my understanding that in similar cases, courts have found that home confinement with monitoring, in addition to some additional security, as being sufficient to secure a person's attendance. I believe that under the (inaudible) in this case it would be appropriate.

THE COURT: Mr. Fishman?

MR. FISHMAN: Your Honor, just a couple of points. One, his home is in the -- the Trump Tower home which is named in the indictment is in the process of being seized. And it's also the property from which he ran his illegal business. As to the suggestion that Mr. Trincher bought this home with poker earnings, a public source review of Mr. Trincher's poker playing, which he has played poker in tournaments, reveals one tournament, I believe in 2010, 2011, in which he won approximately a million dollars.

There is no other record of him at any of the number of tournaments that get a -- much publicity in this country and internationally of him ever earning anything substantial. Indeed, during the months that we were up on the wiretaps, aside from him playing occasional backgammon games with his friends, the only significant poker game he played was at an illegal poker game at the Plaza Hotel, that was organized by, among others, his son, Eugene Trincher, who is charged in this indictment with running an illegal poker game in which he won a couple of hundred thousand dollars of which was completely illegal profits.

I just want to paint as full a picture as I can of the money Mr. Trincher has abroad. And looking at the Pretrial Services report, I note that it lists under assets, \$5 million for residents and nothing else. It states that as far as his employment, he's employed as a money investor, and has been doing this type of work for the past ten years.

He reports that he has made approximately 1.3 million in the last year, although again, no bank accounts are listed, nothing is listed -- just this \$5 million asset, the apartment, and no liabilities are listed.

Mr. Trincher every day was accepting tens of thousands if not hundreds of thousands of sports bets for his operations or directing his bookies in the Ukraine, in Moscow, or people using the various online gambling websites

that he used, to cause bets to be placed.

And again, the sophisticated nature of the money laundering scheme here, the way it worked would be setting up loan agreements with the Russian clients that had some bogus purpose. On the one end of the Russian loan -- of the loan agreement was a Russian client usually creating a shell company of their own.

They then loaned the money, typically half a million dollars, to one of their Cyprus shell companies -Dockside, North side, Lichen, Toehold, Jet way, Maple Brook
-- all of these companies since 2006 have operated solely to launder their money into the United States.

And so the money would come from Russia under a loan agreement to Dockside. There's no evidence these loans were ever repaid because they weren't loans; they were gambling payments.

Then the money would come either in a loan agreement to Villa Nova Properties, another company they used to loan money, or it would come directly to Mr. Trincher, directly to Mr. Golubchik, but millions and millions of dollars.

Now we don't know precisely how much money there is hidden all over Russia or the Ukraine or Cyprus for Mr.

Trincher, but all we can rest assured is it's a lot of money. He's on the phone all the time talking to people in

16 Kiev, Ukraine about tax transactions of hundreds of 1 2 thousands of dollars. There is every incentive for him to leave, to be with his brother in the Ukraine. 3 Honor, may I just have one moment? 4 5 And Your Honor, I think also the allegation of 6 extortion in this case changes the burden that was being described by defense counsel, being that is a crime of 7 violence. And this is not the normal bail proceeding where 8 9 the burden rests on the Government, it's a rebuttable 10 presumption. And in this case, with this defendant's --11 with this defendant facing RICO charges, his home here is 12 subject to forfeiture, he has laundered over \$50 million 13 from outside this country through Cyprus and the former 14 Soviet Union, this defendant poses an immense flight risk 15 that I respectfully suggest even in a normal context he would not be a good candidate for bail. But under the 16 17 rebuttable presumption, I don't think defense counsel has 18 done anything to rebut that presumption and respectfully, I 19 don't think he could. 20 This defendant is facing likely a long, long, long 21 time in jail in a United States prison and there's every 2.2 reason for him to want to leave.

23 Thank you. Mr. Fineman? THE COURT: 24 MR. FINEMAN: Your Honor, without rehashing all

the things that the U.S. Attorney has mentioned, his

25

2.2

brother, my client's brother is not living in Ukraine. He
recently immigrated to the United States, so we just want to
get that out. He has a blood relative here in New York
along with some other family members, his wife lives here in
New York. His sons that are live in California here in the
United States. So he's not a (inaudible) flight risk,
that's first of all.

Second of all, I spoke to my client and he's been in numerous tournaments. He's won a tremendous amount of money and he's sanctioned tournaments in Las Vegas. He even owns a home in Las Vegas. (Inaudible) he's nationally ranked as a poker player. So notwithstanding the fact that the Government (inaudible) so (inaudible) publicly known tournament. My client has (inaudible) he obviously denies the allegations. So it's easy for the U.S. Attorney's Office to say what they intend to prove at a bail hearing. (inaudible) if there actually was a fear of flight.

THE COURT: I have a question for you which is how do you reconcile the amount of money that he has turned, including, according to the Pretrial Services report, \$1.3 million last year and the only asset being the home.

MR. FINEMAN: Your Honor, my client indicates that he has a home here in New York, he has property in Las Vegas, he has several bank accounts in which money exists. So it's not as if he has no assets. He has potential

Case 1.15-c1-00200-31411 Document 204 Tiled 03/23/13 Tage 10 01 23

1 assets.

2.2

THE COURT: And how did they not make it into the Pretrial Service report?

MR. FINEMAN: I wasn't present during the Pretrial Services. (Inaudible) was interviewed by them but I can't vouch for what was said and what wasn't said during the interview.

MR. FISHMAN: Your Honor, the fact remains there are not assets listed in the Pretrial Service report and also, I would just say as part of the Government's investigation, we have Mr. Trincher's tax returns. And if he is the successful poker player that his lawyer says he is, he has been committing massive tax fraud over the last five years because he certainly isn't declaring any of those winnings.

That is an excuse, that is a lie, just like the lie that says he's a money investor. The Government proffers to the Court and the grand jury found probable cause to find that this defendant runs a sports gambling business, is the leader of a RICO enterprise. And the proof that underlies it is the overwhelming e-mail and wiretap proof that everyday this person does not run any business other than his illegal gambling business which uses threats of violence to collect its money, and uses sophisticated laundering techniques through Cyprus, which we all know what

2.2

the situation at Cyprus is now, due in large part to the money laundering that's occurred in that country.

And these sophisticated money laundering techniques the goes through Cyprus into the United States to collect his illegal earnings. And that's what he is, that's what he's done, that's why he's facing the penalties he's facing, and that's why, if giving him the opportunity, the Government believes he will not come back to court.

THE COURT: Mr. Fineman, how do we deal with the tax returns.

MR. FINEMAN: Your Honor, my client said he reported (inaudible) on his tax return last year.

(inaudible). I'm not sure where the U.S. Attorney's coming

up with that he's (inaudible) tax (inaudible). He reports substantially on the (inaudible).

MR. FISHMAN: Your Honor, I'm happy to respond to that. The two points about the tax returns. One, much of the income that is claimed in the tax returns is via the illegal money laundering vehicles, such as Villa Nova

Properties, S&T Capital. So the money that is being claimed -- or another example, Skyway, a plane company in Florida that they used until about 2006, more like 2007, 2008 to be their principal money laundering company before they changed it to Villa Nova Properties. So much of the income that is claimed is claimed through those companies. It's also

offset by a number of losses.

money from poker tournaments.

2.2

Generally speaking, over the last five years, Mr.

Trincher has claimed either losses, or close to losses, or negligible gains. He probably, over the last five years, has claimed total gain in the range of about a million and a half dollars, maybe, over the last five years which certainly doesn't explain how he could spend \$5 million in cash on his apartment in Trump Tower. And what it certainly doesn't back up, unless I just misunderstood what defense counsel argued first, was the idea that he's making all this

So what the Government is proffering is that other than one substantial poker earning that was reflected in taxes, anything else has been negligible. There's never been significant on anything shown in poker earnings.

THE COURT: One moment.

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE COURT: On the basis of the indictment, the Pretrial Service report, and the proffers of counsel I find that the Government has sustained its burden of demonstrating that the defendant presents a risk of flight, such that there are no conditions that would reasonably assure his return to court.

Whether or not the Government benefits from the presumption here, it's sustained its burden of showing that,

first of all, that the defendant faces substantial term of incarceration if convicted and that the evidence against him is quite strong, creating an incentive to flight.

The Government's also demonstrated that he has the means to flee, were he to choose to do so, given the substantial income that he has received, not all of which has necessarily been identified by the Government.

He has had contacts overseas. Whether his brother is now in this country, I think is not determinative. Among other things, he is a dual citizen with Israel so there are places where he could flee if he so chose.

I'm also disturbed by the inconsistencies between the Pretrial Services report, the tax returns, and the purported sources of Mr. Trincher's income. I think that that has certainly not been explained to my satisfaction to overcome the proffer that has been made by the Government.

So for those reasons I'll order him detained pending trial.

I will hear from the Government on Mr. Golubchik.

MR. BACH: Judge, we'll reserve.

THE COURT: Very well. On that basis I'll order Mr. Golubchik detained without prejudice in any future application. Is there a conference scheduled with a district judge?

MR. FISHMAN: Yes, Your Honor, Judge Furman has

```
22
    set a conference for this Friday at 1 p.m.
 1
 2
              THE COURT:
                           Thank you all.
                             As I said, as I indicated, the
 3
              MR. FISHMAN:
 4
   discovery in this case is going to be voluminous.
 5
    Government has already made extensive efforts to compile
 6
    discovery in advance of these arrests and is hopeful that it
    can even be making discovery before the conference on
 7
 8
    Friday, and is certainly at the disposal of defense counsel
    to discuss the case in advance of then.
 9
10
              With that in mind, the Government would ask that
11
    time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act from now until
12
    Friday.
13
              THE COURT:
                          Mr. Bach, is that consented to?
14
              MR. BACH:
                          Yes.
15
              THE COURT:
                           Mr. Fineman?
16
              MR. FINEMAN:
                             No objection, Your Honor.
                           Very well, the time is excluded.
17
              THE COURT:
18
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
              MR. FISHMAN:
19
               (Whereupon the above matter was adjourned.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I, Carole Ludwig, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, United States of America v. Tokhtakhounov, et al., Docket #13cr268, was prepared using digital electronic transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. Signature____ Date: April 25, 2013